

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/762,476	09/27/2001	Michael Mendez	40977	5080
7590 12/30/2005		EXAMINER		
Steven B. Kelber, Esq.			AKHAVAN, RAMIN	
Piper Rudnick,				
1200 19th Street N.W.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20036			1636	

DATE MAILED: 12/30/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/762,476	MENDEZ ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Ramin (Ray) Akhavan	1636	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --THE REPLY FILED 12/08/2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. 1. X The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL ____. A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on _ of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). 3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324). 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _ 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 7. 🛛 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) 🖾 will not be entered, or b) 🗌 will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: _ Claim(s) rejected: 7 and 32. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE 8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet . 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s 13. Other: ____

Application/Control Number: 09/762,476

Art Unit: 1636

Continuation of form PTOL-303:

ADVISORY ACTION

The amendment after final rejection filed on 12/08/2005 has not been entered. The proposed amendments raise new issues for consideration and/or search. In particular, claim 7 is amended to require the structural elements to be "in sequential order" (i.e., in delimiting a first and second arm each having a selectable marker, a first cyclization element, and a first and second segment having homologous to the 5' and 3' terminus, respectively, of a target polynucleotide. As such the proposed amendments materially change the scope of the claims thus requiring further search and consideration.

In addition, Applicant asserts that Elledge et al. (US 6,828,093) does not anticipate the claims. In particular, Applicant asserts that the simple presence of an AAV gene in the expression construct that Elledge teaches does not equate to the structural limitations for a first and second segment where each is homologous to a target AAV polyucleotide. Further, Applicant asserts that the reference does not teach that the vector therein is capable of homologous recombination in yeast.

As to the latter assertion, the claims are directed to a product thus a like product regardless of intended use anticipates the invention, where the claimed product has the same structural limitations. As such, any AAV gene would necessarily comprise 5' and 3' regions that are homologous to said gene, which can exist in any cell capable of being transformed, including yeast cells. In other words, as written, the claims broadly require that the vector have segments that comprise some sequence homology to a target gene at a 5' and 3'terminus, respectively.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,476

Art Unit: 1636

Any limitations, directed to how the vector is to be used (e.g., "for homologous recombination"), are more appropriate for a method claim.

In sum, the proposed amendments present new issues for consideration and search thus are not entered. Furthermore, the Elledge reference teaches a vector composition that teaches the requisite structural elements. Thus the rejection of record under Elledge is still deemed appropriate.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramin (Ray) Akhavan whose telephone number is 571-272-0766. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30-5:30. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Remy Yucel, Ph.D. can be reached on 571-272-0781. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Respectfully submitted,

Ray Akhavan/AU 1636